IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	8:20CR5
VS.	ORDER
RODNEY THOMAS,	OTE ET
Defendant.	

This matter is before the court on the defendant's Unopposed Motion to Continue Trial [20]. Counsel needs additional time in order to make further attempts to find a mutually acceptable resolution. For good cause shown,

.

IT IS ORDERED that on the defendant's Unopposed Motion to Continue Trial [20] is granted, as follows:

- 1. The jury trial, now set for July 28, 2020, is continued to **September 1, 2020.**
- 2. In accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), the court finds that the ends of justice will be served by granting this continuance and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Any additional time arising as a result of the granting of this motion, that is, the time between **today's date and September 1**, **2020**, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act. Failure to grant a continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv).

Dated this 24th day of July 2020.

BY THE COURT:

s/Susan M. Bazis United States Magistrate Judge